Case 1:23-cv-00229-JLS

Document 7

Filed 07/31/24 Page 1 of 72/

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ANTHONY RUGGIERO,

Plaintiff,

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

-V-

23-CV-0229(JLS)

DONALD D. ROGERS, et al.,

FIRST AMEMDED COMPLAINT

Defendants.

DONALD D. ROGERS, Correction Officer, Attica C.F.,

MARK E. CARROW, Correction Officer, Attica C.F.,

JOSEPH H. NOETH, Superintendent, Attica C.F.,

LENA RUSSI, Deputy Superintendent for Programs, Attica C.F.,

THEA HALE, Nurse 2, Attica C.F.,

RICHARD TENBRICK, Dentist 2, Attica C.F.,

T.M. FINNERTY, NYSDOCCS, Commissioner's Hearing Officer,

JAMES A. O'GORMAN, Deputy Commissioner, NYSDOCCS,

ANTHONY J. ANNUCCI, Commissioner, NYSDOCCS,

DANTELLE DILL, Director, MYSOMH,

JUL 29 2024

MARY C. LOEWENG! TH CLERK

WESTERN DISTRICT OF NY

Sued in their Individual Capacities , defendants.

INTRODUCTION

This is a civil rights action filed by Anthony Ruggiero, an ex-state prisoner seeking compensatory and punitive damages under 42 U.S.C. Section 1983, alleging excessive use of force, wanton infliction of pain, sexual assault, denial of dental treatment, denial of due process, and application of a written unconstitutional policy, all in violation of Plaintiff's rights protected under the Fourth, Fifth, Eighth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1) The Court has jurisdiction over the Plaintiff's claims of violation of federal constitutional rights under 42 U.S.C. subsections 1331(1) and 1334.
- 2) All of the claims arose at the Attica Correctional Facility which is within the jurisdiction of the Western District Court.

PARTIES

3) Plaintiff's current mailing address is 400 Flower Road , Apt.9B Valley Stream , New York 11581.

- 4) The plaintiff was housed at the Attica Correctional Facility 639 Exchange Street Attica, New York 14011-0149 (hereinafter "Attica C.F."), at all times relevant to the events described in the complaint.
- 5) Defendant Donald D. Rogers was at all times relevant herein employed as a correction officer at Attica C.F. He is being sued in his Individual Capacity.
- 6) Defendant Mark E. Carrow was at all times relevant herein employed as a correction officer at Attica C.F. He is being sued in his Individual Capacity.
- 7) Defendant Joseph H. Noeth was at all times relevant herein employed as the Superintendent for Attica C.F. He is being sued in his Individual Capacity.
- 8) Defendant Lena Russi was at all times relevant herein employed as the Acting Deputy Superintendent for Programs at Attica C.F. She is being sued in her Individual Capacity.
- 9) Defendant Thea Hale was at all relevant times herein employed as Nurse 2 at AtticaC.F. She is being sued in her Individual Capacity.
- 10) Defendant Richard Tenbrick was at all times relevant herein employed as a dentist at Attica C.F. He is being sued in his Individual Capacity.
- 11) Defendant T.M. Finnerty was at all relevant times herein employed as a Commissioner's Hearing Officer. His employment address is New York State Department of Corrections and Community Supervision State Office Building Campus, Building No.2, 1220 Washington Avenue Albany, New York 12226 (hereinafter "NYSDOCCS"). He is being sued in his Individual Capacity.

- 12) Defendant Anthony J. Annucci was at all relevant times herein the Acting Commissioner for NYSDOCCS. He is being sued in his Individual Capacity.
- 13) Defendant James A. O'Gorman was at all times relevant herein employed as a Deputy Commissioner for NYSDOCCS. He is being sued in his Individual Capacity.
- 14) Defendant Danielle Dill was at all times relevant herein employed as the Director of Central New York Psychiatric Center , Old River Road Box 300 , Marcy New York 13404-0300 which is her employment address. She is being sued in her Individual Capacity
- 15) All of the defendants have acted under color of state law at all times relevant to this complaint.

FACTS AND CAUSES OF ACTION

16) Just prior to June 5, 2020 at the Attica Correctional Facility in A-Block, security staff had been targeting the "white" table for several weeks by harassing the 6-8 white inmates, including the plaintiff, who own that table. They were pulling us one by one from the yard daily, conducting excessive frisks, while at the same time leaving all the other inmate races alone. Discriminatory frisking. There was tension between the staff and us. That is the back story leading up to the constitutional violations. Security staff were acting and talking tough towards plaintiff and his associates.

T.

- 17) On June 5, 2020, inside the Attica C.F. A-Block recreation yard at approximately 7:10 p.m., I was instructed to report to the platform by defendants Rogers, Carrow and another correction officer.
- 18) were talking tough and threatening to beat me when we got inside.

EIGHTH AMENDMENT EXCESSIVE USE OF FORCE CLAIMS AGAINST DEFENDANTS ROGERS AND CARROW

- 19) After being threatened with a beating by defendants Rogers and Carrow, I panicked and ran in to the middle of the yard, BUT THEN I STOPPED DEAD AND PUT MY HANDS UP IN THE AIR IN SURRENDER POSTURE, WITH MY BACK TO DEFENDANTS ROGERS AND CARROW.
- 20) Right at that point defendant Rogers whom on this date was about 6'4 in height and at least 270 pounds vs. the plaintiff who is 5'7 and 170 pounds, could have simply handcuffed the plaintiff and taken him in.
- 21) Instead, defendant Rogers executed a LEAPING FOREARM SMASH TO THE BASE OF PLAINTIFF'S SKULL, WITH SUCH FORCE THAT PLAINTIFF'S LEGS FLEW OUT FROM UNDER HIM. I WAS ALMOST KNOCKED UNCONSCIOUS. Later that night plaintiff suffered headaches, nausea and vomiting. And these symptoms are consistent with a person that has sustained a concussion. And I know this because I had sustained a concussion many years ago in a minor traffic accident. Despite my complaints to medical staff, no medical attention was forthcoming.

- 22) Defendant Rogers smashed plaintiff in the base of his skull with his forearm WITH MALICE AND INTENT TO CAUSE THE PLAINTIFF BODILY HARM. AND I ONLY KNOW WHAT THEY DID TO ME AND HOW THEY DID IT, IS BECAUSE I WATHCHED THE VIDEO FOOTAGE AT THE DISCIPLINARY HEARING.
- 23) Thereafter, I was pinned face down by defendant Rogers and another officer, when defendant Carrow arrived, he took my left hand with both of his, presumably to apply mechanical restraints, then drops my hand, pulls out his pepper spray, and then SPRAYED ME POINT-BLANK RANGE IN THE FACE AND MOUTH WITH OC PEPPER SPRAY. AND HE DID IT WITH MALICE AND INTENT TO BURN MY FACE AND CAUSE ME PHYSICAL AND BODILY HARM. His actions are also captured on this video.
- 24) Defendants Rogers and Carrow used excessive force without justification against a non-resistant plaintiff, thereby violating plaintiff's rights protected under the 8th Amendment to the United States Constitution.

PLAINTIFF'S FIRST EIGHTH AMENDMENT CLAIMS AGAINST DEFENDANTS

ANNUCCI, O'GORMAN, DILL AND RUSSI FOR CREATING, AUTHORIZING,

APPROVING AND PROMULGATING MANDATORY SUICIDE PREVENTION

SCREENING NYSDOCCS' DIRECTIVE AND POLICY NO.3152 UPON THE PLAINTIFF

PERSONAL INVOLVEMENT

- 25) Defendant Annucci is personally involved in the constitutional violations caused by Directive 3152, pursuant to NYSDOCCS Directive No.0001, the Commissioner has ultimate authority over all department directives.
- 26) Defendant O'Gorman signed his name Directive 3152 as the "Approving" authority.
- 27) Defendant Dill was aware and had knowledge of Directive 3152. This policy and directive is a collaboration between her office and NYSDOCCS.
- 28) Defendant Russi authorized and applied Directive 3152 against the plaintiff on June 5, 2020 and is therefore culpable in the constitutional violations.
- 29) Directive 3152 is a PRE-SPECIAL HOUSING UNIT -SUICIDE PREVENTION SCREENING. THREE QUESTIONS ARE ON THE FORM 3152 THAT THE SHU SERGEANT ASKS ANY INMATE THAT IS BEING ADMITTED TO A SPECIAL HOUSING UNIT. THE QUESTIONS ARE:
 - a) DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING TO LIVE FOR OR LOOK FORWARD TO?
 - b) DO YOU WANT TO HURT YOURSELF?
 - c) DO YOU THINK YOU CAN COPE WITH YOUR SHU TIME?
- 30) THE FORM 3152 ALSO INDICATES IF AN INMATE DOES NOT ANSWER THESE THREE QUESTIONS A REFFERAL WILL BE MADE TO THE MENTAL HEALTH UNIT.
- 31) On June 5, 2020 after being smashed in the base of the skull and pepper-sprayed, a Sergeant asked me the above three questions PRIOR TO BEING ADMITTED TO THE SHU AND I REFUSED TO ANSWER HIS QUESTIONS. I WAS NOT UNDER ANY

PSYCHIATRIC CARE, AND CERTAINLY WAS NOT TAKING ANY PSYCHIATRIC MEDICATION. I DID NOT AND WAS NOT ACCUSED OF DISPLAYING ANY IDEATIONS OF SUICIDE VERBALLY OR IN BEHAVIOR. YET AT THAT POINT I WAS MANDATED TO THE AUSPICE OF THE NYS OFFICE OF MENTAL HEALTH.

32) Plaintiff asserts that defendants Annucci, O'Gorman, Dill and Russi knowingly and willingly allowed plaintiff to be placed in the SHU – APPARENTLY THE CONDITIONS OF WHICH THEY KNEW WERE SO ABYSMAL AND DEPARAVED.

THAT PLAINTIFF COULD COMMIT SUICIDE. BASED ON THE THREE QUESTIONS AND THEIR RESPONSE WHEN I REFUSED TO ANSWER. That is my first Eighth Amendment claim related to Directive and Form entitled 3152.

PLAINTIFF'S SECOND EIGHTH AMENDMENT CLAIM AGAINST DEFENDANTS ANNUCCI, O'GORMAN, DILL AND RUSSI AND THEIR DIRECTIVE 3152

33) Plaintiff refused to answer the three questions. And then THE REFERRAL KICKED IN.

UH OH. On June 5, 2020 plaintiff was taken to the "Octagon" handcuffed behind the back, pinned faced down again by several correction officers and a Sergeant on the floor, clothes cut off, THEN ROLLED AROUND ON THE FLOOR NAKED Side to side. Side to side. AND THEN A CORRECTION OFFICER SPREAD PLAINTIFF'S ASS CHEEKS AND PALPATATED PLAINTIFF'S ASSHOLE. BECAUSE THEY HAVE TO SAVE

ME. THAT IS HOW THEY SAVED THE PLAINTIFF FROM HIMSELF. BY SEXUALLY ASSAULTING THE PLAINTIFF.

- 34) Defendants Annucci, O'Gorman, Dill, and Russi and their Directive 3152 caused the Sexual Assault. THIS IS NOT ABOUT SEARCHING FOR CONBTRABAND. THIS IS HAPPENING BECAUSE THEY ARE GOING TO DEPRIVE ME OF MY CLOTHING AND EVERYTHING ELSE. Because I did not answer the three questions.
- 35) Defendants Annucci, O'Gorman, Dill and Russi and their Directive 3152 violated plaintiff's rights protected under the 8th Amendment to the United States Constitution by creating, approving, promulgating and authorizing Directive 3152 that caused this sexual assault and attempted sodomy. Solely because I refused to answer the three questions.
- 36) Defendants Annucci, O'Gorman, Dill and Russi violated Plaintiff's Due Process rights protected under the 14th Amendment to the United States Constitution by creating, authorizing, promulgating and applying this unconstitutional policy Directive 3152, because I did not answer the three mental health questions I was deprived of the following and would have remained deprived of the following without Due Process.
- 37) From June 5, 2020 through June 8, 2020, plaintiff was housed in a strip-cell as direct result of my refusal to answer the three mental health questions. Nothing in the cell except a bare tear-proof mattress, and a tear-proof smock. No sheets, no blanket, no pillow, not even toilet paper. No books, no mental stimuli, nothing. No mail. No phone calls. THE CONDITIONS IN THE STRIP-CELL WERE 10 TIMES WORSE THAN THE AVAERAGE Special Housing Unit conditions.

38) I was informed by OMH Staff that I Would remain in that state of "limbo", and my SHU sentence does not begin until I am released from Suicide watch. And in Order to get released, I have to give them, THE CORRECT ANSWERS TO THE THREE QUESTIONS.

4TH AMENDMENT ILLEGAL SEARCH AND SEIZURE AND 5TH AMENDMENT RIGHT TO REMAIN SILENT CLAIMS AGAINST DEFENDANTS ANNUCCI, O'GORMAN, DILL AND RUSSI AND THEIR DIRECTIVE 3152

- 39) My clothing and everything else was SEIZED because I refused to give correct answers to the three mental health questions. I had just been the victim of excessive force and chemical agent. At that time, I do not know whether or not these defendants are going to lodge false penal law offenses against me. That happens almost daily in these prisons. I HAVE A RIGHT TO REMAIN SILENT. AND IT DOES NOT MATTER THE NATURE OF THE QUESTIONS THAT ARE POSED TO ME FROM LAW ENFORCEMENT. I HAVE A RIGHT TO REMAIN SILENT REGARDLESS OF THE NATURE OF THE QUESTIONS.
- 40) I was placed under pressure, by virtue of Directive 3152, and the conditions of the strip -cell, under extreme duress. And after three days of extreme deprivation did I give them the correct answers to the three mental health questions. And now they are being sued for it.

1

41) Defendant Noeth for his part in the furtherance of applying Directive 3152 upon the plaintiff, HE PLANNED THE SEXUAL ASSAULT AND ATTEMPTED SODOMY. This is not a conclusory statement. HE ACTUALLY PLANNED THE HANDCUFFING BEHIND THE BACK, THE PLACING OF PLAINTIFF FACE DOWN ON THE FLOOR, THE CUTTING OFF OF MY CLOTHES, THE ROLLING ME AROUND ON THE FLOOR NAKED, THE SPREADING OF MY BUTT CHEEKS. These are described as tactics in the Unusual incident Report related to this claim and incident. NYSDOCC'S UI REPORT INDICATES DEFENDANT NOETH AS THE PLANNER OF THIS TACTICAL PROCEDURE. And that is how he is personally involved in the constitutional violations.

14TH AMENDMENT DUE PROCESS VIOLATIONS CLAIM AGAINST DEFENDANTS FINNERTY

- 42) Plaintiff was issued two separate misbehavior reports , one for the incident in the Recreation Yard dated June 5, 2020 , and a second dated June 6, 2020 for an alleged incident that occurred while in the strip-cell.
- 43) Plaintiff has permanent nerve damage in his right arm and hand from a botched surgery in 2013. Nerves in my brachial plexus were stretched, and I sustained a traction injury.

- 44) When I am Handcuffed behind my back , I experience moderate to severe pain , and then after awhile of being handcuffed behind my back , numbness.
- 45) I had two reports to fight, there were multiple staff involved, I had questions written for potential witnesses, there were related reports, I had a lot of paperwork I had brought to the hearing, pages and directives that I had TO HANDLE, MANIPULATE I TO HANDLE AND TURN DURING THE HEARING.
- 46) On June 17, 2020, the Hearing began. I was escorted by security staff handcuffed behind the back, placed in a little iron cage, opposing the Hearing Officer's desk.

 There is a hatch built into the door of the cage I was in for the very purpose of removing or applying mechanical restraints.
- 47) Between myself and the Hearing Officer's side are criss-cross iron bars , and about a half-inch thick panel of Lexon glass. So , IN NO WAY COULD PLAINTIFF THROW ANYTHING ON DEFENDANT FINNERTY.
- 48) Prior to defendant Finnerty activating the recording device, he started asking me questions, "Where am I from?", "When am I getting out?". Then tells me that he is a "fair guy", and that I can ask other inmates to confirm that he is a "fair guy".
- 49) I perceived this as his "pitch" for me to plead guilty, SO HE DOES NOT HAVE TO PROVIDE ME WITH DUE PROCESS AND GO THROUGH ALL OF MY DEFENSES AND WITNESSES, ETC. ETC., If I cooperate with him and make his job easy, then he will be lenient and impose a shorter SHU sentence. Because I did not cooperate HE WENT AHEAD AND VIOLATED MY DUE PROCESS RIGHTS.

- 50) No. I fight all my hearings, charges, indictments, etc. etc. Whether it be in criminal court or at a prison disciplinary hearing. I did not acquiesce, and told him to turn the recorder on.
- 51) I proceeded to participate in the hearing on the 17th of June 2020, AND AGAIN ON JULY 7, 2020, HANDCUFFED BEHIND THE BACK. We reviewed video and audio tape.

 AND I CONTINUED TO PARTICIPATE UP UNTIL THE POINT WHERE I HAD TO ACCESS AND MANIPULATE MY PAPERWORK.
- 52) Over and over I made objections on the record and repeatedly requested that the handcuffs be removed. DENIED. I also requested I be assigned an assistant to manipulate and turn my papers for me. DENIED.
- 53) On July 7, 2020 after about an hour of being handcuffed behind the back while defendant Finnerty left to do something else, I told him he will have to finish the hearing by himself if I remain handcuffed behind my back and unable to conduct my defense.
- 54) Defendant Finnerty violated plaintiff's rights protected under the Due Process Clause of the 14th Amendment to the United States Constitution. By keeping the plaintiff handcuffed behind his back, under pressure, pain and duress, unable to access my notes, written questions for witnesses, internal reports, directives, etc. etc., THEREBY PREVENTING PLAINTIFF FROM CONDUCTING HIS DEFENSE.
- 55) According to the Inmate Grievance Program response, need merely tell security staff to remove the handcuffs.

56) Additionally, defendant Finnerty told me that this is his "policy", and I grieved it as his policy, BUT IT IS NOT A POLICY. IT IS A POLICY MEANT ONLY FOR ME. Other inmates were also going through their hearings AND NONE OF THEM WERE KEPT HAND CUFFED BEHIND THEIR BACKS DURING THE HEARING. AND I KNOW THIS BECAUSE I ASKED THEM AND THEY TOLD ME.

EIGHTH AMENDMENT DELIBERATE INDIFFERENCE CLAIM AGAINST DEFENDANT HALE

- 57) The night of June 5, 2020 through the morning of June 6, 2020, after getting smashed in the base of my skull, and while inside the strip-cell, plaintiff experienced headache, dizziness, nausea and vomiting.
- 58) I complained to staff on the rounds, the night of June 5, with no response because THE MEDICAL AND SECURITY STAFF ALL KNOW WHY I WAS THERE AND ABOUT THE INCIDENT IN THE YARD AND I AM ON THE ASSHOLE LIST AS FAR AS THEY'RE CONCERNED AND I GET NOTHING. VERY SIMPLY, THAT IS HOW THAT GOES.
- 59) Again for second time that morning I stopped defendant Hale and informed her that I had been vomiting. She said I should have "saved it" to show her. Then an argument ensued. I kept asking to see the doctor, I could have head trauma.
- 60) Defendant Hale violated plaintiff's rights protected under the 8th Amendment to the United States Constitution by displaying a deliberate indifference to plaintiff's health. She refused to notify the facility doctor about plaintiff's subjective complaints of dizziness, nausea, head pain and vomiting after she knew that I was

,

a victim of use of chemical agent and excessive force, she would not even take vitals.

14TH AMENDMENT DUE PROCESS VIOLATION CLAIM AGAINST DEFENDANT HALE, AND PLAINTIFF'S SECOND 14TH DUE PROCESS VIOLATION CLAIM AGAINST DEFENDANT FINNERTY

- 61) Defendant Hale, after plaintiff demanded medical attention, fabricated a misbehavior report alleging threats and I commented about her appearance, etc., etc. She then conspired with defendant Finnerty, gave false testimony that she knew was false at the hearing, and then defendant Finnerty references an audio tape that supports her testimony, however, plaintiff KNOWS NO SUCH RECORDING EXISTS.
- 62) Defendant Finnerty violated plaintiff's rights protected under the 14th Amenment to the United States Constitution knowingly and willingly entering fraudulent evidence into the hearing record, he was biased to the point of turning the hearing into a sham.
- 63) Plaintiff was found guilty of all the charges on both reports and sentenced to , inter alia , 9 months Special Housing Unit , which was reduced to 7 months administratively.

WAIVER OF CHALLENGE TO LOSS OF GOODS TIME CREDITS

64) Plaintiff hereby waives and relinquishes any and all challenges to the recommended loss of good time credits related to the two misbehavior reports dated June 5, 2020 and June 6, 2020.

ATYPICAL AND SIGNIFICANT HARDSHIPS, ABNORMAL SPECIAL HOUSING UNIT CONDITIONS

- 65) Security staff, acting inn collusion, would until the food trays arrive, then take me out to the cage/pen for my one hour of outdoor exercise, take the lid off my tray, and leave it in my cell
- open and exposed to the open air, several times there were flies crawling around in my food. Staff would "schedule" my one hour of outdoor exzercise intentionally around either breakfast or lunch. The other inmates were going out also, but between breakfast and lunch. This continued from June 8, 20209 through August 30, 2020, the date I was transferred out of the Attica Special Housing Unit.
- 67) During my time spent in the Attica SHU, from June 8, 2020 through July 10,2020 the entire front of my cell was boarded up with Lexon glass. Plaintiff suffered extreme record breaking hot temperatures as a result of the front of the cell being boarded up. All in violation of plaintiff's Due Process rights.

8TH AMENDMENT DELIBERATE INDIFFERENCE CLAIMS FOR DENIAL OF DENTAL

TREATMENT AGAINST DEFENDANT TENBRICK

- 68) As of August 19, 2020 plaintiff had a need for multiple cavity fillings , and partial dentures , while housed at the Attica C.F>
- 69) The need for these services was identified by defendant Tenbrick upon examination of my teeth.
- 70) Pere NYSDOCCS Policy and Dental Directive fillings and cleaning are to be done prior to begin the process for obtaini9ng dentures.
- 71) After waiting many months, I wrote the Attica C.F. dental department for treatment status. I received a written response of: "NO NEED TO WRITE THEM ABOUT IT. I WILL BE CALLED IN TURN."
- 72) I had three cavities in three different teeth, ALL MOLARS OR PRE-MOLARS.
- 73) Defendant Tenbrick displayed a deliberate indifference to plaintiff's serious dental issues. I suffered tooth pain, and tooth/teeth degeneration. From August 2019 through August 5, 2020-about one year-WITH NO DENTAL TREATMENT AT ALL.
- 74) One of the teeth identified with carion identified by defendant Tenbrick had to be extracted on October 29,2020, at another facility.
- 75) And then a short period of time later the other two molars or pre-molars had to be extracted.
- 76) Defendant Tenbrick violated plaintiff's rights protected under the 8th Amendment to the United States Constitution.

EXHAUSTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES

- 77) Plaintiff avers he has exhausted all administrative remedies to the highest level of appeal with no relief obtained. Plaintiff attaches the following documentation as proof of exhaustion:
- 78) Attachment A is Attica C.F. Grievance A-1063-20 and appeal responses pertaining to plaintiff's excessive use of force claims against defendants Rogers and Carrow.(4 pages)
- 79) Attachment B is Attica Grievance A-1054-20 and appeal responses relating to plaintiff's claims against defendants Annucci , O'Gorman , Dill and Russi , Noeth and their Directive 3152 , and the sexual assault.(5 pages)
- 80) Attachment C is Attica C.F. Grievance A1203-20 and appeal responses relating to plaintiff's Due Process violation claims against defendant Finnerty, and keeping plaintiff handcuffed behind his back for the entire disciplinary hearing. (4pages)
- 81) Attachment D is plaintiff's Superintendent Hearings Appeal statement and related responses and denials.(5 pages)
- 82) Attachment E is Attica C.F. Grievance A1191 and appeal responses related to plaintiff's food being left exposed and open to air unpurpose. (4 pages)
- 83) Attachment F is plaintiff's appeal statements and one response from the Deputy Superintendent for Security relating to the front of plaintiff's cell being boarded up with Lexon , and the torturous conditions and hot temperatures it caused. In addition to related meteorological data printout indicating record breaking hot temperatures during all times relevant.(6 pages)

84) Attachment G – Attica C.F. Grievance A1200-20 and appeal responses relating to plaintiff's claims against defendant Tenbrick and my deliberate indifference to my dental needs against him, in addition to plaintiff's inquiry and the dental department's response.(6 pages)

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- 85) Plaintiff demands a jury trial.
- 86) Plaintiff requests the Court direct service of plaintiff's First Amended Complaint and summons by U.S. Marshal's service in accordance with plaintiff's previously granted poor person status.
- 87) Plaintiff requests the Court direct all the defendants to respond to the first amended complaint.
- 88) Plaintiff demands \$ 1,000,000.00 (one-million dollars) in compensatory damages from and against defendant Rogers for smashing me in the base of my skull causing concussion.
- 89) Plaintiff demands an additional \$ 25,000 (twenty-five thousand dollars) in punitive damages from defendant Rogers because he intended to harm the plaintiff.
- 90) Plaintiff demands \$ 1,000,000.00 (one-million dollars) in compensatory damages from and against defendant Carrow for intentionally and with malice spraying me at point-blank range in the face whilst plaintiff was face down semi-conscious with three correction officers on my back, with malice and intent to cause me harm.

- 91) Plaintiff demands an additional \$ 25,000 in punitive damages from defendant Carrow because he meant to harm the plaintiff.
- 92) Plaintiff demands \$ 10,000,000.00 (ten-million dollars) in compensatory damages from and against defendants Annucci, O'Gorman, Dill, Russi and Noeth jointly and separately for the multitude of constitutional violations of plaintiff's rights by creating, authorizing, approving, promulgating and applying Directive and Form 3152 upon the plaintiff.
- 93) Plaintiff demands an additional \$500,000.00 (five-hundred thousand dollars) in punitive damages from defendants Annucci, O'Gorman, Dill, Russi and Noeth for creating a policy and directive that caused to plaintiff to be sexually assaulted by their subordinate corrections officers.
- 94) Plaintiff demands \$ 1,000,000.00)one-million dollars) in compensatory damages from and against defendant Tenbrick for displaying a deliberate indifference to plaintiff's serious dental issues and needs.
- 95) Plaintiff demands 10,000,000.00 (ten-million dollars) in damages jointly and separately against all of the defendants for emotional pain and mental anguish associated with being bashed in the back of the head the head, unjustifiably sprayed point-blank range in the face, sexually assaulted, 7 months in solitary confinement, and the ultimate loss of three major teeth that could presumable have been saved, and all the pain and suffering associated with the above.

96) Plaintiff demands \$ 1,000,000.00 (one-million dollars) in compensatory damages from and against defendant Finnerty for violating plaintiff's Due Process rights.

97) Plaintiff demands \$ 1,000,000.00 (one-million dollars) in compensatory damages from and against defendant Hale for intentionally depriving plaintiff of medical attention after plaintiff endured excessive force and chemical agent attack.

Wherefore, plaintiff prays for judgment in his favor against all the defendants as to all of his claims, and for such other and further relief as to the Court may seem just, proper and equitable.

Dated: July 27, 2024

Anthony Ruggiero , Plaintiff Pro se

Case 1:23-cv-00229-JLS Document 7 Filed 07/31/24 Page 22 of 72

ATTACHMENT A

June 11, 2020

Vo: Innate Crievance Resolution Committee Attica C.F.

From: Anthony Ruggiero * 99A4419 C-E-8 cell SHU

Description of Problem: June 5, 2020 while in A-block yard, I was approached by several corrections officers, and told to report to the platform. The last two days staff were taxacting the 8 white while they were following behind me to the platform, Staff were threatening me, with bodily harm. I got nerupus i and poundred and ran to the middle the yord, but then stopped and put my hands up. Than I sustained a leaping torearn smash to the base of My Shall, pinned face down by numerous officers, then sprayed point blank range in the face with sepper spray and hit in the head with a beston. Alot of the spray went on my mouth. Then a Hook rask was exhitrarily placed on me face burning from the spray, and now the hoodsmask I could not breathe, I am a life long Asthmatic. pg. 2 Crievance data June # 2020 Excessive use of Force / Assault

Thereafter, I had to produce the Boss Chair and all kinds of secontarination measures to prolong the decontamination process. By design so the spray stays on my face for as long as possible.

Action Requested: OSI investigation, and monethery compensation for the cryel and unusual punishment, I endured in violation of my 8th Amendment Rights.

Grievant Signature, Anthony Ruggero × 9944419

00: File

1011112			
		Grievance No.	Date Filed
	STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND COMMUNITY SUPERVISION	A-1063-20	6/15/20
	THE COMMODITY SOPERVISION	Facility	Policy Designation
ingram	L.A.	Attica Correctional Facility	Institutional
		Staff Misconduct	Class Code
IN	MATE GRIEVANCE PROGRAM		
		Superintendent's Signature	49
Grievant A. R	Ruggiero	Per Cell FPS Din# 99A4419	8/3/20 Housing Unit
			RB-CE-8

Grievance is denied based upon the information provided.

Lieutenant B. investigated this complaint.

The investigation included an interview of the grievant and named staff members.

When interviewed, the grievant did not add any additional information or provide any witnesses.

Named staff denied all allegations and provided written memorandums to that effect.

The investigating Supervisor found no evidence of staff misconduct. This incident is a documented unusual incident and use of force. Video of the incident was reviewed. The grievant was found guilty of his actions in a Tier III hearing. When the grievant complained the water was too hot, during the decontamination process, Sergeant O. had the water adjusted immediately.

Based on the information provided, the grievance is denied. There is no evidence to substantiate any allegations of staff malfeasance made by the grievant.

APPEAL STATEMENT	
If you wish to refer the above decision of the Superintendent, please sign below	and return this copy to your Inmate Grievance Clerk.
You have seven (7) calendar days from receipt of this notice to file your appeal.	* Please state why you are appealing this decision
to C.O.R.C. Stand by my asse	The state of the s
mmy prigital arieval	nce as the bases
of my coppedi	
(Inthorn/ works	August 13, 2020
Grievant's Signature	Date
Griovanca Clark's Signature	Date

^{*} An exception to the time limit may be requested under Directive #4040, section 701.6(a).

NEW YORK COFFECTIONS and Community Supervision ANDREW M. CUOMO ANTHONY J. ANNUCCI Acling Commissioner	Grievance Number A-1063-20 Associated Cases Facility Attica Correctional Facility	Date Filed 06/15/20 Hearing Date 01/14/21
INMATE GRIEVANCE PROGRAM CENTRAL OFFICE REVIEW COMMITTEE	Tille of Grievance Assault/Discrimination	

GRIEVANT'S REQUEST UNANIMOUSLY DENIED

Upon full hearing of the facts and circumstances in the instant case, the action requested herein is hereby denied. CORC upholds the determination of the Superintendent for the reasons stated.

CORC notes that this matter has been properly investigated by the facility administration, and that the grievant was involved in an appropriately documented Unusual Incident (UI) and Use of Force (UOF) on 6/5/20 after he was observed handling an unknown object in the yard and refused to comply with a pat frisk. He was seen by medical staff following the incident with a superficial scratch on his left shoulder. It is further noted that he was properly decontaminated at the facility infirmary as O.C. pepper spray was deployed, and the water temperature was adjusted after the grievant stated it was too hot. Staff named in the UI/UOF deny assaulting the grievant or threatening him and indicate that only the force necessary to gain his compliance was used. Sgt. Mo... indicates that he gave direction to staff to have the grievant escorted from the yard due to the appearance that he was in possession of contraband and notified Sgt. Mu...of his findings and denies racially discriminating against him. CORC also notes that the grievant refused to comply with a strip frisk when admitted to SHU and force was used to gain compliance and his clothes were cut off using safety scissors. Sgt. O... notes that the grievant refused to answer all questions when screened for SHU admission and he was placed in a smock and moved into an RCTP cell with all approved items and denies the grievant being placed in a cell or escorted naked. CORC finds insufficient evidence of malfeasance by staff.

CORC notes that the grievant's 6/5/20 Tier III misbehavior report was upheld upon appeal by the Office of Special Housing/Inmate Discipline on 8/19/20. CORC advises the grievant that he was solely responsible for his actions while in the Department's custody. In addition, CORC asserts that there is no provision in Directive #4040 for the grievance program to be used as an additional or secondary appeal mechanism for a misbehavior report.

CORC asserts that monetary damages are not an available remedy through the inmate grievance mechanism. CORC upholds the discretion of the facility administration to determine when to review video or audio recordings for grievance investigations.

With respect to the grievant's appeal, CORC finds insufficient evidence to substantiate violations of Department policy, improper medical care or malfeasance by staff and notes that he has since been transferred.

MXV/	
had been greated that the state of the state were that and state of the state will state that were constrained as the state of the stat	

ATTACHMENT B

June 11, 2020

1054-20

To: Innate Grievance Resolution Committee Attica C.F.

From: Anthony Ruggiero * 99A4419 C-E-8 cell SHU

Description of Problem: I am challenging the arbitrary involuntary commitment to subber room & striped naked "Policy" that upon admission to an SHU. if an inmate cannot for refuses to answer the correction serceants 3 questions: (1) Do you think you can cope with your SHU time? (2) Do you want to hurt yourself. (3) Do you have anything to live for or Look forward to?

First on June 5, 2020. I was washing the pepper spray out of my eyes when I was asked these questions. I responded that can built until after I see medical. And of course I was striped naked by force - RAPED - by

Case 1:23-cv-00229-JLS Document 7 Filed 07/31/24 Page 29 of 72/54-70

Corrections Officers - thrown naked in a cell with nothing in it for almost 4 days, butil monday morning, And the only way out of that was to tell OMH Stall - I don't want to kill musclf. I can cope With the SHU time and I have things to look forward. And it I did not pledge allegiance then I would be held in that cell nated - no soap. Showers Do toothpasta - nothing - Just food - Until I Pledged Allegrance. Physical and psycholo.

I do not have an open MHU file. I do not take psyche meds. I am a level 6. I do not require mental health services. There is no regulation, there is no rule. There is no Statute, that gives a Correction SGT.

Document 7 Filed 07/31/24 Page 30 on 72 54 - 10 Case 1:23-cv-00229-JLS

Correvance 6-11-2020 100 3

the Authority to involuntary commit Plaintiff to a mental institution - Because I Don't Want to talk to him.

The policy violates plaintiff's 14th Amendment Rights. Unless Plaintiff displays or states verbally Some kind of Suicidal IDEATION, I CANNOT BE COMMITTED TO AN INSANE ASYLUM.

Action Requested: Monetary Com-pensation. That this policy be abolished.

Control Signature, Control Ruggiero * 9944419

CC: File

Per Sgt. Hthe grievant is speaking about the manda clearly states that if an immate replies yes to any question health immediately and also notify the watch commander, if also an immediate call to mental health and mental health spa go into an observation cell or is ok/cleared to be put into a	innate refuses to answer questions marked	notify mental
Date Returned to Inmate: Chairperson: Return within 7 calendar days and check appropriate boxes.* I disagree with IGRC response and wish to appeal to Superintendent. I agree with the IGRC response and wish to appeal to the Superintendent.		nses.
Signed: Athony Grevant	Regger July 2	3,202
Grievance Clerk's R	Receipt Date	
To be completed by Grievance Clerk.		
Grievance Appealed to the Superintendent:	Date	
Grievance forwarded to the Superintendent for action:	; Date	

* An exception to the time limit may be requested under Directive #4040, section 701.6(g).

Document 7 Filed 07/31/24

Per Directive #4040 §701.5 (b)(2)(ii)(a) this grievance was heard in absentia because the grievant is in SHI/Long

Plock.
Grievant is advised that the Immate Grievance Program is not an outlet for monetary compensation, damages, or

1054-20

reinbursement.

FORM 2131E (REVERSER94:23-CV-00229-JLS

Response of IGRC: Grievance is DENIED.

NEW YORK STATE Community Supervision	GRIEVANCE NO. A-1054-20	DATE FILED 6/15/2020
	Attica Correctional Facility	POLICY DESIGNATION Institutional
SUPERINTENDENT	TITLE OF GRIEVANCE Facility policy	CLASS CODE 24
GRIEVANT	SUPERINTENDENT'S SIGNATURE Fys Cruth Fys	79/20
Ruggiero, A.	99A4419	HOUSING UNIT RB-CE-8

The grievant asserts that he does not agree with the facility policy regarding suicide prevention.

Contrary to the grievant's assertions, this is not a facility policy it is a departmental policy. Form 3152-Suicide Prevention Screening form state that if an inmate refuses to answer a question marked with a *** staff must make an immediate referral to OMH. The determination is then made by OMH staff not security staff. It is also noted by the grievant's own admission in the written complaint that he refused to answer the question.

The grievant is advised that monetary damages are not an available remedy through the inmate grievance program.

Based on the investigation, the decision of the IGRC is upheld and the grievance appeal is denied.

APPEAL STATEMENT

If you wish to refer the above decision of the Superintendent please sign below and return this copy to your Inmate Grievance Clerk. You have seven (7) calendar days from receipt of this notice to file your appeal.* Please state why you are appealing this decision to C.O.R.C.

Commitment of A Mental Institution.

GRIEVANCE CLERK'S SIGNATURE

DATE

DATE

DATE

DATE

^{*}An exception to the time limit may be requested under Directive #4040, section 701.6 (g) Form 2133 (02/15)

		Ruggiero	99A4419 6	ing.
New YORK Corrections and Community Supervision	Grievance Number A-1054-20	CEPEsig./Code 1/24	Date Filed 06/15/20	10
The state of the s	Associated Cases		Hearing Date 12/17/20	
Governor Acting Commissioner	Facility Attica Correctional Facil	lity		
INMATE GRIEVANCE PROGRAM	Title of Grievance SHU Admission Policy			
CENTRAL OFFICE REVIEW COMMITTEE				

GRIEVANT'S REQUEST UNANIMOUSLY DENIED

Upon full hearing of the facts and circumstances in the instant case, the action requested herein is denied.

CORC asserts that the inmate grievance program provides incarcerated individuals with an orderly, fair, simple, and expeditious method for resolving grievances for which there is no avenue for redress or correction. However, the grievant's specific action requested seeks a remedy which is not available through CORC review.

CORC asserts that monetary damages are not an available remedy through the inmate grievance mechanism. In addition, CORC notes that the grievant was transferred on 7/31/20

CORC notes that, pursuant to Directive #4040 § 701.6 (b), no reprisals of any kind shall be taken against an incarcerated individual or employee for good faith utilization of this grievance procedure. An incarcerated individual may pursue a complaint that a reprisal occurred through the grievance mechanism.

SMM/ral	
	-
	v # 15-64 m # 4 m m 4 m m m m m m m m m m m m m

ATTACHMENT C

Case 1:23-cv-00229-3ES | Document 7 | Filed 07/31/24 | Page 35 of 72

Junate Orievance Resolution Committee Attica Correctional Facility Authory Ruggiero* 99A4419 RBCE-8

Description of Problem. I can Grieving the Attica SHU Mandout blanket policy that All immates are kept hand cuffed behind their backs during the Hearing process. I am kept locked inside of an from cage. The cage is surrounded by Plexi-Glass on the outside. There is a notal out out, in the door which can be opened and closed for the purpose of applying and removing hand colffs. In addition there is a video-comera inside the coge pointing right of me. I am whalle to manipulate and consult with my paperwork and conduct my defense. There are ready alternatives to the axbitrary unconstitutional policy, such as culture me in the Front with a warst chain. This policy

is designed to put an immate in duress and prevent him from conducting his defense.
This is a violation of my year Amendment Due Process Rights.

Action Respested: OSI Investigation. Monetary compensation for the violation of my 14th Amendment Rights.

to defend themselves at any type of judicial proceeding without the ability to carsult, manipulate or review any of the paperwork related to the proceedings.

Chithony Preggier 9944419

CC - File

1203 1:23-cv-00229-JLS Document 7 Filed 07/31/24 Page 37 of 72 FORM 2131E (REVERSE) (9/12)

Response of IGRC: Grievance is DENY:

Grievant is advised that the Immate Grievance Program is not an outlet for monetary compensation, damages, or reimbursement;

Per Sgt. H...in the SHU all immates have mechanical restraints applied behind the back to exit the cell, if the hearing Lieutenant or Captain wants an immate cuffed from the front with the waist chain that is done prior to immate going into the hearing room.

AUG 0 6 2020	•
Date Returned to Inmate:	IGRC Members: Sqf C. min
Chairperson:	TO CLOP
Return within 7 calendar days and check appropriate	e boxes.*
I disagree with IGRC response and wish appeal to Superintendent.	I have reviewed deadlocked responses. Pass-Thru to Superintendent.
I agree with the IGRC response and wish appeal to the Superintendent.	h to I apply to the IGP Supervisor for review of dismissal.
Signed: Mthove	Muggie August 10, 200
Grievance	Clerk's Receipt Date
To be completed by Grievance Clerk.	
Grievance Appealed to the Superintendent: _	Date
Grievance forwarded to the Superintendent for	or action:Date

^{*} An exception to the time limit may be requested under Directive #4040, section 701.6(a).

	Case 1.25-CV-00229-JL5	Document / Filed 07/31/24 Page 30 01 /2		
and the same of th	NEW YORK Corrections and Community Supervision	GRIEVANCE NO. A-1203-20	7/6/2020	
		FACILITY Attica Correctional Facility	POLICY DESIGNATION Institutional	
	INMATE GRIEVANCE PROGRAM SUPERINTENDENT	TITLE OF GRIEVANCE Cuff policy	CLASS CODE 24	
	GRIEVANT	SUPERINTENDENT'S SIGNATURE Jet Cull	DATE 8/21/20	
	Ruggiero, A.	99A4419	HOUSING UNIT ORLEANS SHU200	

The grievant asserts that he should not be cuffed in the back.

The grievant is advised that per facility policy all inmates are cuffed from behind prior to exiting their cells. If the hearing officer requests the grievant be cuffed in the front it is done prior to the inmate entering the hearing room. If an inmate is cuffed from behind it is noted on the hearing record sheet that he is unable to sign due to restraints. There is no provision requiring an inmate to be uncuffed during his disciplinary hearing.

Based on the investigation, the decision of the IGRC is upheld and the grievance appeal is denied.

APPEAL STATEMEN	Ţ
If you wish to refer the above decision of the Superintendent please sign be Clerk. You have seven (7) calendar days from receipt of this notice to file you this decision to C.O.R.C.	olow and return this copy to your Inmate Grievance our appeal.* Please state why you are appealing
I rely on the asserti	ous contained
in my original arrieva	ice as the bases
of mi Appeal.	
Conthon Lugarer	August 28, 2020
GRIEVANT'S SIGNATURE	() DATE
GRIEVANCE CLERK'S SIGNATURE	DATE

^{*}An exception to the time limit may be requested under Directive #4040, section 701.6 (g) Form 2133 (02/15)

Case 1:23-cv-00229-JLS Document 7 Filed 07/31/24 Page 39 of 72 Grievance Number A-1203-20 1/24 07/06/20 Corrections and Community Supervision Associated Cases Hearing Date 01/28/21 ANDREW M. CUOMO ANTHONY J. ANNUCCI Facility Acting Commissioner Attica Correctional Facility Tille of Grievance INMATE GRIEVANCE PROGRAM Cuff Policy CENTRAL OFFICE REVIEW COMMITTEE GRIEVANT'S REQUEST UNANIMOUSLY DENIED Upon full hearing of the facts and circumstances in the instant case, the action requested herein is

CORC asserts that the inmate grievance program provides incarcerated individuals with an orderly, fair, simple, and expeditious method for resolving grievances for which there is no avenue for redress or correction. However, the grievant's specific action requested seeks a remedy which is not available through CORC review.

CORC asserts that no reprisals of any kind shall be taken against an incarcerated individual or employee for good faith utilization of this grievance procedure, in accordance with Directive #4040, § 701.6 (b). An incarcerated individual may pursue a complaint that a reprisal occurred through the grievance mechanism.

CORC asserts that monetary damages are not an available remedy through the IGP, and notes that he was transferred on 7/31/20.

SMM/jna	
네 요~~ ~~ 하지 마시스 하지 않는 이 때 때 때 마하지 않는 한 하지 않는 분위 이 등 등 수 위 수 있다.	
하는 이 하는데 한 한 것 같은 하는 것 같은 하는 이 아니는 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이	

July 15, 2020

APPEAL TO COMMISSIONER SUPERINTENDENT'S HEARING

Anthony Ruggiero * 99A4419 currently housed at the Attice Corr. Fac. SHU, appeals the Hearings completed on July 10, 2020. The bases of my appeal are as follows:

My 14th Amendment Duc Rocess
Rights to conduct a defense were
violated when I was secured
in side of an iron cage, with
I sides and a roof the cage
Surrounded by plexi-aloss an
the outside. The door of the
cage has a hatch out out in
the door which can be opened
for the sole purpose of
removing and applying handcuffs. As A BLANKET POLICY
I WAS LEFT HANDOUFFED

REHIND MY BACK AND

Pg. 2 Superintendent Teled 07/31/24 Page 41 of 72 Superintendent Hecrings 7-10-20

PREVENTED FROM CONSULTING, REVIEWING AND MANIPULATING THE PAPERWORK RELATED TO THE CHARGES AND MY DEFENSES. I had 2 Directives, 2 Reports, Multiple Extensions, Written Notes and Questions For Witnesses. Inside the cook there is not even a table - bbt a 6 in. X8 in. piece of sheet metal to lay papers on. Defendant CHO Finnerty alleged that the "Policy" is For "Schety & Security" I succested that I be hand-cuffed in Front W/ waistchaindenied. I then requested my employee assistant be called to help me nanipulate my paperwork - that was This policy is intended to keep innected UNDER PRESSURE AND DURESSMITE AND TO PREVENT AN INMITE

pg.3 Superintendent Hearings 7-10-20

FROM CODDUCTING A DEFENSE.

Additionally, plaintiff has
documented nerve damage in
his right arm and hand
which exacerbated & compounded
any attempt or ability to
manipulate my paperwork
while hand cuffed behind my
back.

Please listen to the Hearing recording. I request that both hearings be Reversed And Expunged.

Anthony Ruggee -* 99 A 4419

cc: file

Case 1:23-cv-00229-JLS Document 7 Filed 07/31/24 Page 43 of 72 NEW YORK STATE

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND COMMUNITY SUPERVISION THE HARRIMAN STATE CAMPUS - BUILDING 2
1220 WASHINGTON AVENUE
ALBANY, N.Y. 12226-2050

ANTHONY J. ANNUCCI ACTING COMMISSIONER

JAMES O'GORMAN
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

REVIEW OF SUPERINTENDENT'S HEARING

NAME: RUGGIERO, ANTHONY

NO. 99A4419

HEARING FACILITY: ATTICA

ON BEHALF OF THE COMMISSIONER AND IN RESPONSE TO YOUR RECENT LETTER OF APPEAL, PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT YOUR SUPERINTENDENT'S HEARING OF JULY 10, 2020, HAS BEEN REVIEWED AND AFFIRMED ON AUGUST 19, 2020.

REPORT OF CO CARROW, INCIDENT OF 6/5/2020 @ 7:28PM

A. RODRIGUEZ

ACTING DIRECTOR, SPECIAL HOUSING/
INMATE DISCIPLINARY PROGRAM

CC: FACILITY SUPERINTENDENT CENTRAL OFFICE FILES

APPEAL DECISION RENDERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 254.8 OF CHAPTER V AND ELECTRONICALLY PRODUCED UPON THE AUTHORITY OF THE DIRECTOR OF SPECIAL HOUSING/INMATE DISCIPLINE PROGRAM.

Case 1:23-cv-00229-JLS Document 7 Filed 07/31/24 Page 44 of 72 NEW YORK STATE

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND COMMUNITY SUPERVISION THE HARRIMAN STATE CAMPUS - BUILDING 2
1220 WASHINGTON AVENUE
ALBANY, N.Y. 12226-2050

ANTHONY J. ANNUCCI ACTING COMMISSIONER

1997

JAMES O'GORMAN
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

REVIEW OF SUPERINTENDENT'S HEARING

NAME: RUGGIERO, ANTHONY

NO. 99A4419

HEARING FACILITY: ATTICA

ON BEHALF OF THE COMMISSIONER AND IN RESPONSE TO YOUR RECENT LETTER OF APPEAL, PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT YOUR SUPERINTENDENT'S HEARING OF JULY 10, 2020, HAS BEEN REVIEWED AND AFFIRMED ON JULY 28, 2020.

D. VENETTOZZI
DIRECTOR, SPECIAL HOUSING/
INMATE DISCIPLINARY PROGRAM

CC: FACILITY SUPERINTENDENT CENTRAL OFFICE FILES

APPEAL DECISION RENDERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 254.8 OF CHAPTER V AND ELECTRONICALLY PRODUCED UPON THE AUTHORITY OF THE DIRECTOR OF SPECIAL HOUSING/INMATE DISCIPLINE PROGRAM.

ATTACHMENT

FORM 2131E (9/12) Castate OBNEW OOR COEMARTMENT OF UTHREETTONS FUR COMMUNITY SUPERVISION 46-0	1914 1
INMATE GRIEVANCE COMPLAINT	1 de la constante de la consta
24 Meal Times Grievano	
	726
CORRECTIONAL FACILITY	-
Date: July 1	2020
Name: Anthony Riggiero Dept. No.: 994449 Housing Unit: RR-	正-8
Program: <u>SHO</u> AM	PM
(Please Print or Type – This form must be filed within 21 calendar days of Grievance Incide	ant)*
Description of Problem: (Please make as brief as possible) SHU Stoff Colottic Lagrangian	501./
Serve the Food when I am in the exercise	2000
They take the lid off the tray, leave it on m	Cital.
and it sits there open to the air, dost, be	y Dea
11 - 1	V 3
remains open, traffic for a half how to 4	
Food cets cold. Hot coreal acts congealed EDV block Sot about to nothing drange CEIVEDV	obe to
Signature: Chithon Bugger	
Grievance Clerk; Date: ATTICA CORR. FACILITY ATTICA GRIEVANCE	•
Advisor Requested YES ANO WAS: ATTICA CONTINUATE GRIEVANCE INMATE GRIEVANCE	
Action requested by inmate: Schedule the exercise around	meal
distribution, Not During Med distribu	tion-
It is done intentionally another Attic	c
The Grievance has been formally resolved as follows:	
	44April - 6 CPRING (1)
This Informal Resolution is accepted: (To be completed only if resolved prior to hearing)	and the second s
Grievant	
Signature: Date:	
If unresolved, you are entitled to a hearing by the Inmate Grievance Resolution Committee (IGRC).	

* An exception to the time limit may be requested under Directive #4040, section 701.6(g).

Term Keep Lock. Per Sgt. S. he explained to the grievant that chow must be served as soon as possible after its arrival SHJ, as per FCM 3.404 VIII A 2 b. The recreation program is also a served as soon as possible after its arrival	. 0
SHU, as per FCM 3.404 VIII A 2 b. The recreation program is also a morning program, in order to get all the irms to the exercise yard that desire to go, they must utilize all the time in the morning that they can. The timing the grievant goes to yard and when chow is served may not always work to his favor. Sgt. Stold him that if he a hot meal he may have to forgo the yard.	ites out
a local re may rave to longo the yami.	- "
Date Returned to Inmate: IGRC Members:	
IGRC Wellibers	Maria de la companya del companya de la companya de la companya del companya de la companya de l
Chairperson:	. .
	-
Return within 7 calendar days and check appropriate boxes.*	
I disagree with IGRC response and wish to	
appeal to Superintendent. Pass-Thru to Superintendent.	
I agree with the IGRC response and wish to appeal to the Superintendent. I apply to the IGP Supervisor for review of dismissal.	
	1.00
Signed: MANNI / Wayner No. 1 4,	
Grievant / Date	
Grievance Clerk's Receipt Date	,
To be completed by Grievance Clerk.	
Grievance Appealed to the Superintendent:	
Date	
	•
Grievance forwarded to the Superintendent for action:	
E GLO	

Document 7

Per Directive #4040 §701.5 (b)(2)(ii)(a) this grievance was heard in absentia because the grievant is in SHI/Tong

Med 07/31/24 Page 47 of 72

FORM 2131E (REVERSE) (9/12/B-CV-00229-JLS

Response of IGRC: Grievance is DENIED.

^{*} An exception to the time limit may be requested under Directive #4040, section 701.6(g).

Case 1:23-cv-00229-JLS Document 7 Filed 07/31/24 Page 48 of 72 DATE FILED GRIEVANCE NO. Corrections and A-1191-20 7/2/2020 **Community Supervision** POLICY DESIGNATION **FACILITY** Attica Correctional Facility Institutional TITLE OF GRIEVANCE INMATE GRIEVANCE PROGRAM CLASS CODE 24 Meal times SUPERINTENDENT

99A4419

DATE

HOUSING UNI

ORLEANS SHU200

SUPERINTENDENT'S SIGNATURE

The grievant asserts that meals are served while he is at recreation.

Ruggiero, A.

GRIEVANT

Sgt. S... provided a written response asserting that meals are served as soon as they are delivered to SHU and at times there are inmates that are still out to recreation. He asserts the procedure has been changed and that inmates that are in recreation will be served their meal upon returning to their cell.

It is noted that the grievant has been transferred and should address any SHU concerns with the SHU Sgt. at his current facility.

Based on the investigation, the decision of the IGRC is upheld and the grievance appeal is denied.

APPEAL STATEMENT

If you wish to refer the above decision of the Superintendent please sign below and return this copy to your Inmate Grievance Clerk. You have seven (7) calendar days from receipt of this notice to file your appeal.* Please state why you are appealing this decision to C.O.R.C.

Mey mun exercise intentionally right
before the took is 1990ed AS A DETTERPLIT
NOT TO GO TO EXERCISE LESS WOBL

CHATANY MANAGER

GRIEVANT'S SIGNATURE

DATE

DATE

*An exception to the time limit may be requested under Directive #4040, section 701.6 (g) Form 2133 (02/15)

		Ruggiero, f	+ 99A441C
New YORK STATE Community Supervision	A-1191-20 Associated Cases	Desig./Cotte	Date Filed 07/02/20
ANDREW M. CUOMO ANTHONY J. ANNUCCI Governor Acting Commissioner	Facility		Hearing Date 01/14/21
	Attica Correctional Facility	:	
INMATE GRIEVANCE PROGRAM CENTRAL OFFICE REVIEW COMMITTEE	Change Meal Times		

GRIEVANT'S REQUEST UNANIMOUSLY DENIED FOR MOOTNESS

Upon full hearing of the facts and circumstances in the instant case, the grievant's action requested is hereby denied, as CORC considers the issue moot.

CORC notes that Directive #4040, § 701.3 requires that "An inmate must be personally affected by the policy or issue he or she is grieving, or must show that he or she will be personally affected by that policy or issue unless some relief is granted or changes made."

CORC notes that the grievant was transferred on 7/31/20. Due to this change in circumstances, the grievant is no longer personally affected by the issues raised in the instant complaint.

Accordingly, the matter is now closed.

SMM/jna
ARTORNAM WARE SECTION OF THE PROPERTY SECTION OF THE SECTION OF TH

ATTACHMENT E

June 23, 2020

To: S. White Deputy Superintendent For Security

From Anthony Ruggiero \$ 99A 4419
RB-CE-8 cell

RE: Appeal of Cell-Shield Renewal dated: 6-19-2020 to 6-26-2020

Now E notice a new fabricated allegation: "On 6-14-20 you spit at the alass while stall was (sic) in Front of nour cell-" written on the Renewal-I have been in prison 23 years - never been accessed or convicted of spitting on anyone, o-K-hacin E recest the shield be removed, It is preventing all air circulation, temperators are past 90 dearers and I endore "Saura" source like "Conditions as an effect of the Shield.

cc: File

Androny Roggiero * 774419

Case 1:23-cv-00229-JLS Document 7 Filed 07/31/24 Page 52 of 72

To: 3-White, Deputy Superintendent For Security

From: Authory Ruggiero*29A4419 RBCE-8

F.E. Appeal of Cell Shield Renewal Joseph 6-26-20-2020

and sunlight almost every day.

I come out of my cell every time they run showers I days a week. I come out of my cell to attend the Superintendents Heaving whenever I have to.

Ron SPITTING ON STAFF When I am Outside My Cell ???

The is for this reason that I allege the Cell-Shield is used at Athica C.F. an arbitrary unconstitutional punishment. The cell becomes an Din-Ventilated Hot Box when Temps. rise above 90 decrees his circulation whatsoever. Again, & reguest you have the Cell-Shield.

Ithon Beggi

21 AT 2



Corrections and Community Supervision

ANDREW M. CUOMO

Governor

ANTHONY J. ANNUCCI Acting Commissioner

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Ruggiero 99A4419 (RB-CE-8)

FROM:

S. White, Deputy Superintendent for Security

DATE:

6/25/20

SUBJECT: Your letter

I have received your 6/23/20 request to have your cell shield removed; your request is denied. It will be reviewed by the Superintendent every week for renewal.

cc: DSS, inmate

MM INDICATES DATA IS MISSING.

T INDICATES TRACE AMOUNT.

& &

... SEARING AND PERSISTENT SUMMER HEAT...

RELENTLESS HEAT WAS UPON WESTERN NEW YORK THROUGH THE MONTH OF JULY,

AND FOR THE FIRST TIME IN RECORDED HISTORY, A MONTH FEATURED EVERY

DAY'S TEMPERATURE AVERAGING 70F OR GREATER. THE PINNACLE OF THE HEAT

WAVE WAS EARLY IN THE MONTH WHEN THE TEMPERATURE SOARED TO 98F/9TH

AND 97F/10TH...WITH THESE VALUES BEING THE 2ND (TIED) AND 4TH (TIED)

WARMEST TEMPERATURES MEASURED IN 150 YEARS WORTH OF RECORDS AT

BUFFALO. A DRY GROUND AIDED IN THE BUILDING HEAT EARLY IN THE MONTH,

THOUGH SEVERAL BOUTS OF THUNDERSTORMS AND RAIN MID MONTH ONWARD

ALLOWED VEGETATION TO FRESHEN.

THE TEMPERATURE AVERAGED 77.6F IN JULY. THIS IS THE WARMEST ALL-TIME

MONTHLY TEMPERATURE ON RECORD, AND EXCEEDED THE PREVIOUS WARMEST

JULY ON RECORD BY 1.4 DEGREES FAHRENHEIT. FROM JULY 3RD TO JULY 10

THE MAXIMUM TEMPERATURE REACHED INTO THE 90S EVERY DAY...AND THESE 8

CONSECUTIVE 90 DEGREE DAYS BROKE THE FORMER LONGEST STRETCH OF

CONSECUTIVE 90 DEGREE DAYS BY 1 (7-DAYS JULY 1988). THE `COOLEST`

TEMPERATURE THIS MONTH WAS ONLY 63F. THERE HAS NEVER BEEN A

BEFORE THAT FAILED TO DIP TO AT LEAST 62F ON RECORD. THERE WERE 3

RECORD DAILY MAXIMUM TEMPERATURES ESTABLISHED, 94F/7TH (OLD 94F/1988), 98F/9TH (OLD 92F/1988) AND 97F/10TH (OLD 95F/1988). THERE

WERE ALSO THREE RECORD WARM MINIMUM TEMPERATURES SET, 76F/8TH (OLD

74F/1921), 76F/10TH (OLD 76F/1897), 74F/27TH (OLD 74F/1949).

These data are preliminary and have not undergone final quality control by the National Climatic Data Center (NCDC). Therefore, these data are subject to revision. Final and certified climate data can be accessed at the NCDC - http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov.

Climatological Report (Monthly)

LOWEST

AVG. MAXIMUM

AVG. MINIMUM

DAYS MAX >= 90

06/04

/03

MEAN

1 1

000 CXUS51 KBUF 031345 CLMBUF CLIMATE REPORT NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE BUFFALO NY 924 AM EDT WED JUL 1 2020 ... THE BUFFALO NY CLIMATE SUMMARY FOR THE MONTH OF JUNE 2020... CLIMATE NORMAL PERIOD 1981 TO 2010 CLIMATE RECORD PERIOD 1871 TO 2020 WEATHER OBSERVED NORMAL DEPART LAST YEAR`S VALUE DATE(S) VALUE FROM VALUE DATE(S) NORMAL TEMPERATURE (F) RECORD HIGH 97 06/29/1933 LOW 36 06/11/1972 HIGHEST 90 06/10 86 06/28 /24

42

76.8

57.5

67.1

1

06/14

75.3

57.3

66.3

0.5

1.5

0.2

0.5

0.8

06

06

44

74.1

56.3

65.2

1 1

CLIMATE REPORT NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE BUFFALO NY 141 PM EDT SAT AUG 1 2020 ...THE BUFFALO NY CLIMATE SUMMARY FOR THE MONTH OF JULY 2020... CLIMATE NORMAL PERIOD 1981 TO 2010 CLIMATE RECORD PERIOD 1871 TO 2020 WEATHER OBSERVED NORMAL DEPART LAST YEAR`S VALUE DATE(S) VALUE FROM VALUE DATE(S) NORMAL TEMPERATURE (F) RECORD HIGH 98 07/09/2020 LOW 43 07/11/1945 HIGHEST 98R 07/09 90 07/10 LOWEST 63 07/05 55 07/09 07 /01 AVG. MAXIMUM 86.4 79.9 6.5 82.3 62.3 6.6 71.1 6.5 AVG. MINIMUM 68.9 65.6 MEAN 77.6 74.0 DAYS MAX >= 90 8 1.1 6.9 1 0 DAYS MAX <= 32 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 DAYS MIN <= 32 0 0 DAYS MIN ≤ 0 0 0 PRECIPITATION (INCHES) RECORD MAXIMUM 8.93 1992 MINIMUM 0.15 1933 TOTALS 3.42 3.23 0.19 1.83 DAYS >= .019 DAYS >= .10 6 5 DAYS >= .502 2 DAYS >= 1.00 1 GREATEST

ATTACHMENT F

Case 1.63-cv-00229-JLS Document 7 Filed 07/31/24 Page 58 of 72

July 3, 2020

Junite Grievance Resolution Committee

Attice Correctional Facility

Anthony Ruggiero*29ALLY19 RB-CE-8

Description of Robber. The dental deportment refuses to treat my over 9 months ago I had a tooth pulled. The dentist identified a filling need for a cavity and I was also suppossed to be fitted for partial dentures. I wrote in Decalous a call-out for threse issues and received a response form that I would be called in town. Down it has been a more months - No Call-out. The cavity has acteur worse, and I have trouble drewing my food. I blooke on my food.

Action Requested: Recipest immediate dental call-out so my dental needs are addressed. They also be lawe you with pain & decentation, and never provide treatment Before it is Loo late.

Chithong Junga

co. file

Case 1:23-cv-00229-JLS Document 7 Filed 0 (31/24 Page 59 of 72
ORBIGOTE WELVESTED
Response of IGRC: Grievance 18 Living was heard in absentia because the grievant is in the property of the grievant is in the property of the grievant is in the property of the grievant in absentia because the grievant is in the property of the grievant is in the grievant in absentia because the grievant is in the grievant in the grievant is in the grievant in the grievant in the grievant is in the grievant in the grievant in the grievant is in the grievant in the grievant in the grievant is in the grievant in the grievant in the grievant is in the grievant in the gri
Response of IGRC: Grievance is DENIED. Per Directive #4040 §701.5 (b)(2)(ii)(a) this grievance was heard in absentia because the grievant is in SHI/long Keep Lock. Grievant did have a tooth extracted on 8/14/19 and was placed on lists for cleaning and filling. His name did not Grievant did have a tooth extracted on 8/14/19 and was placed on lists for cleaning and filling. His name did not Grievant did have a tooth extracted on 8/14/19 and was placed on lists for cleaning and filling. His name did not grievant did have a tooth extracted on 8/14/19 and was placed on lists for cleaning and filling. His name did not grievant did have a tooth extracted on 8/14/19 and was placed on lists for cleaning and filling. His name did not grievant did have a tooth extracted on 8/14/19 and was placed on lists for cleaning and filling. His name did not grievant did have a tooth extracted on 8/14/19 and was placed on lists for cleaning and filling.
Voor Tork
Keep Lock. Grievant did have a tooth extracted on 8/14/19 and was placed on lists for cleaning and filling. The first time, Grievant did have a tooth extracted on 8/14/19 and was placed on lists for cleaning and filling. The first treatment was placed on lists for cleaning and filling. The first treatment was placed on lists for cleaning and filling. The first treatment was placed on lists for cleaning and filling. The first treatment was placed on lists for cleaning and filling. The first treatment was placed on lists for cleaning and filling. The filling treatment was placed on lists for cleaning and filling. The filling treatment was placed on lists for cleaning and filling. The filling treatment was placed on lists for cleaning and filling. The filling treatment was placed on lists for cleaning and filling treatment was placed on lists for cleaning and filling. The filling treatment was placed on lists for cleaning and filling treatment was placed on lists for cleaning and filling treatment was placed on lists for cleaning and filling treatment was placed on lists for cleaning and filling treatment was placed on lists for cleaning and filling treatment was placed on lists for cleaning and filling treatment was placed on lists for cleaning and filling treatment was placed on lists for cleaning and filling treatment was placed on lists for cleaning and filling treatment was placed on lists for cleaning and filling treatment was placed on lists for cleaning and filling treatment was placed on lists for cleaning and filling treatment was placed on lists for cleaning and filling treatment was placed on lists for cleaning and filling treatment was placed on lists for cleaning and filling treatment was placed on lists for cleaning and filling treatment was placed on the lists and filling
Grievalte distriction to COVID restrictions. Dental are difficulty state restrictions.
up on the lists as allowed by state and the for further treatment when up on the lists as allowed by state and an armond the lists as allowed by state and armond the lists are allowed by the list are allowed by state and armond the lists are allowed by state and armond the list are allowed by state and armond the lists are allowed by state and armond the list are allowed by state are allowed by
up on the lists prior to COVID restrictions. Dental are currently seeing only digated and up on the lists prior to COVID restrictions. Vant will be called for further treatment when up on the lists as allowed by state restrictions.

Date Returned to Inmate: IGRC Members:	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Chairperson	all -
Return within 7 calendar days and check appropriate boxes.*	
I disagree with IGRC response and wish to appeal to Superintendent. I have review Pass-Thru	ewed deadlocked responses. to Superintendent.
☐ I agree with the IGRC response and wish to appeal to the Superintendent. ☐ I apply to t	he IGP Supervisor for dismissal.
Signed: Chthang Lugge	July 17, 2020 Date
Grievance Clerk's Receipt	Date
To be completed by Grievance Clerk.	
Grievance Appealed to the Superintendent:Date	
Grievance forwarded to the Superintendent for action: Date	
As assertion to the time limit may be requested under Directive #4040, section	701.6(g).

INMATE GRIEVANCE PROGRAM SUPERINTENDENT GRIEVANT Ruggiero A FACILITY Attica Correctional Facility TITLE OF GRIEVANCE Dental appointment SUPERINTENDENT DIN COA 4440 POLICY DESIGNATION Institutional CLASS CODE DATE HOUSING UNIT	NEW YORK STATE Community Supervision	GRIEVANCE NO. A-1200-20	7/3/2020
SUPERINTENDENT Dental appointment SUPERINTENDENT'S SIGNATURE DATE CLASS CODE DATE DATE DIN HOUSING UNIT	INMATE CRIEVANCE PROCRAM	Attica Correctional Facility	
GRIEVANT Ruggiero A DIN DIN HOUSING UNIT		Dental appointment	CLASS CODE 21
	GRIEVANT Ruggiero, A.	for Cille FR	7/23/20

The grievant asserts that he needs a dental appointment.

DDS T... provided a response asserting that the grievant had a tooth extracted on 8/14/19 and was placed on the cleaning and filling lists. The grievant was not reached on the list prior to the COVID restrictions. Dental is currently restricted to urgent and emergent cases at this time.

The grievant will be called upon being reached on the respective lists. The grievant is reminded to address dental concerns via dental sickcall.

Based on the investigation, the decision of the IGRC is upheld and the grievance appeal is denied.

APPEAL STATEMENT If you wish to refer the above decision of the Superintendent please sign below and return this copy to your Inmate Grievance Clerk. You have seven (7) calendar days from receipt of this notice to file your appeal.* Please state why you are appealing this decision to C.O.R.C.	
I rely on my original stickens	
as the bases of the colored.	
Methory Magge 7-25-202	.C
GRIEVANT'S SIGNATURE DATE	
GRIEVANCE CLERK'S SIGNATURE DATE	

^{*}An exception to the time limit may be requested under Directive #4040, section 701.6 (g) Form 2133 (02/15)

	'Ki	4991'lM, A 441	74418 On
NEW YORK Corrections and Community Supervision	Grievance Number A-1200-20	Desig /Code I/21	07/03/20
ANDREW M. CUOMO ANTHONY J. ANNUCCI	Associated Cases		Hearing Date 12/17/20
Governor Acting Commissioner	Attica Correctional Facility		
INMATE GRIEVANCE PROGRAM	Tille of Grievance Dental Appointment For Cavity/Dentures		
CENTRAL OFFICE REVIEW COMMITTEE	` '		

GRIEVANT'S REQUEST UNANIMOUSLY ACCEPTED IN PART

Upon full hearing of the facts and circumstances in the instant case, and upon recommendation of the Dental Director, the action requested herein is hereby accepted only to the extent that CORC upholds the determination of the Superintendent for the reasons stated.

CORC notes that the grievant was placed on the appropriate waiting list for routine treatment and he will receive treatment when his name is reached. CORC asserts that dental treatment is based on priority and staff resources; emergency care has top priority, followed by essential and routine care. CORC advises him that dental staff determine the course of treatment.

With respect to the grievant's appeal, CORC finds insufficient evidence of improper dental care or malfeasance by staff. He should address further dental matters via sick call at his current facility.

ATTACHMENT G

Case 2:23 cv-00229-JLS Document 7 Filed 07/31/24 Page 63 of 72 Directe Grievance Resolution Committee Attice Correctional Facility Anthony Ruggiero*29ALH119 RB-CE-8 Description of Robber. The dental deportment refuses to treat mg. Over 9 months ago I had a tooth pulled. The dentist identified a Filling need for a cavity and I was also suppossed to be fitted for partial dentities. I wrote in Deg. about a call-out for these issues and received a response (form) that I would be "called in town " Now it has been i more months - No Callout. The country has gotten worse, and I have trooble drewing my Food-I Whoke on my food. Action Regisested. Recoest immediate dental call-out so my Gental needs are addressed. They always lawe you with pain & deceneration, and now provide treatment Before it is Log late. Chievant Signature,

cc. file

1
Case 1:23-cv-00229-JLS Document 7 Filed 07/81/24 Page 64 of 72
Response of IGRC: Grievance is DENTED.
Per Directive #4040 9/01.5 (5/2/12/12) Keep Lock.
Per Directive #4040 \$701.5 (b)(2)(11)(a) this grievance has a surrent for cleaning and filling. His name did not Keep Lock. Grievant did have a tooth extracted on 8/14/19 and was placed on lists for cleaning and filling. His name did not Grievant did have a tooth extracted on 8/14/19 and was placed on lists for cleaning and filling. His name did not up on the lists prior to COVID restrictions. Dental are currently seeing only urgent/emergent cases at this time, up on the lists as allowed by state restrictions.
up on the lists prior to COVID restrictions. Dental are currently seeing only digenty differences up on the lists prior to COVID restrictions. The lists as allowed by state restrictions. The value of the lists as allowed by state restrictions.
Party Party med to Inmate: IGRC Members: 464 5
Date Returned to Inmate: IGRC Members.
Chairperson.
Return within 7 calendar days and check appropriate boxes.*
I have reviewed deadlocked responses.
appeal to Superintendent.
☐ I agree with the IGRC response and wish to appeal to the Superintendent. ☐ I apply to the IGP Supervisor for review of dismissal.
Thatham / 1/1000 0 TULY 12, 2020
Signed: Date
Date Deceipt
Grievance Clerk's Receipt
To be completed by Grievance Clerk.
Grievance Appealed to the Superintendent:
Grievance Appeared to the outportmentanta
Grievance forwarded to the Superintendent for action: Date

An exception to the time limit may be requested under Directive #4040, section 701.6(g).

NEW YORK Corrections and Community Supervision	GRIEVANCE NO. A-1200-20	7/3/2020
INMATE CRIEVANCE PROCESS	Attica Correctional Facility	POLICY DESIGNATION Institutional
INMATE GRIEVANCE PROGRAM SUPERINTENDENT	TITLE OF GRIEVANCE Dental appointment SUPERINTENDENT'S SIGNATURE	CLASS CODE 21
GRIEVANT Ruggiero, A.	Join 99A4419	HOUSING UNIT RB-CE-8

The grievant asserts that he needs a dental appointment.

DDS T... provided a response asserting that the grievant had a tooth extracted on 8/14/19 and was placed on the cleaning and filling lists. The grievant was not reached on the list prior to the COVID restrictions. Dental is currently restricted to urgent and emergent cases at this time.

The grievant will be called upon being reached on the respective lists. The grievant is reminded to address dental concerns via dental sickcall.

Based on the investigation, the decision of the IGRC is upheld and the grievance appeal is denied.

_
-
20
-
-

^{*}An exception to the time limit may be requested under Directive #4040, section 701.6 (g) Form 2133 (02/15)

	'KU991	UN, H 441	74418 03
New YORK STATE Community Supervision	Grievance Number A-1200-20	Desig /Code I/21	Date Filed 07/03/20
	Associated Cases		Hearing Date 12/17/20
ANDREW M. CUOMO ANTHONY J. ANNUCCI Governor Acting Commissioner	Facility Attica Correctional Facility		
INMATE GRIEVANCE PROGRAM	Dental Appointment For Cavity/Dentures		
CENTRAL OFFICE REVIEW COMMITTEE			

GRIEVANT'S REQUEST UNANIMOUSLY ACCEPTED IN PART

Upon full hearing of the facts and circumstances in the instant case, and upon recommendation of the Dental Director, the action requested herein is hereby accepted only to the extent that CORC upholds the determination of the Superintendent for the reasons stated.

CORC notes that the grievant was placed on the appropriate waiting list for routine treatment and he will receive treatment when his name is reached. CORC asserts that dental treatment is based on priority and staff resources; emergency care has top priority, followed by essential and routine care. CORC advises him that dental staff determine the course of treatment.

With respect to the grievant's appeal, CORC finds insufficient evidence of improper dental care or malfeasance by staff. He should address further dental matters via sick call at his current facility.

HLK/			
	******************	ਰਵਾਲੀ ਜੋ ਜ਼ਿਲਾਂ ਤਾਰ ਦੀ ਸਭਾਤਾ ਜ਼ਿਲਾਂ ਦਾ ਹਾਈ ਹੈ ਤਾਂ ਹਾਂ ਹਾਂ ਤੋਂ ਹਵਾਈ ਹਾਂ ਜ਼ਿਲਾਂ ਦਾ ਹਮ ਸ਼ਿਲਾਂ ਜ਼ਿਲ੍ਹਾਂ	+==×=×=×+=+
	ے اور میں میں اور اور میں میں اور میں		

70:	Fig. C.	1.4.	New	the second
to the contract with a to the contract of the		STC1	FMT	7 "
rent in 1990 in der Landen er eine bestellt der Lande	ాలో ఆమా కొండా సీముకోలామేక అయినుకు కోస్తాయి. ఇది చెప్పార్యు	er affect our mai off a large and a promise and a second of the	the second property of the second	

From: Anthony Ruggierso*99A44119 D-42-7

RE: PARTIAL DENTURES/CAVITY
AND CLEANING

Several months ago you pulled out a lower left molar. You told me I have a covity I need a cleaning AND MOST IMPORTANTLY INFERSPARTIAL DENTURES.

FOT THIS NEXT CALL-OBT.

AND NOTHING

I cannot chew my food thoroughly. And depending on What is being served in the messhall I cannot break down the food - SO I DON'T EAT.

Attica Correctional Facility

Dental Department	
To Kuggiero, A. Date DEC 1 0 2019	·
Number 99A 4419	
Block Company 42 Cell 7	
Dental Clinic Response	
	٠
1. You have been scheduled for a callout to the Dental Clinic.	'
2. You have been placed on a treatment list and will be called in tu	
Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y	rn foi xam.
3. You are already on the list from a previous request and must wai	• •
4. Please describe your problem on a new form and send it to the Dental Clinic.	
5. There is no need to send further requests.	
6. OTHER	
. A.	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ANTHONY RUGGIERO,

Plaintiff,

-V-

23-cv-0229(JLS)

DONALD	D.	ROGERS,	et a;.,	
			Defendants	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The Plaintiff, Anthony Ruggiero, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1746 declares under penalty of perjury:

That I have mailed THE ORIGINAL signed in ink , and 10 copies of plaintiff's FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT in accordance with the Court's Decision and Order signed on June 12, 2024 (Dkt, No.5) , by depositing same in a pre-paid priority mail EXPRESS (overnight mail) mailing service effectuated by the United States Postal Service , by plaintiff delivering same to the United States Post Office located in Valley Stream , New York for delivery to the Chief Clerk of the United States District Court for the Western District of New York 2 Niagara Square , Buffalo , New York 14202 on the date indicated below.

Dated: July 27, 2024

ANTHONY RUGGIERO,

PLAINTIFF PRO SE

400 Flower Road, Apt. 9B

Valley Stream, New York 11581

July 27, 2024

To: Hon. Mary C. Loewenguth Chief Clerk

United States District Court

Western District of New York

2 Niagara Square

Buffalo New York 14202

From: Anthony Ruggiero

400 Flower Road, Apt.9B

Valley Stream, New York 11581

Phone: 929-658-1379

RE: ANTHONY RUGGIERO V. DONALD D. ROGERS, et al.,

23-cv-0229(JLS) PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

Dear Chief Clerk Ms. Loewenguth,

Enclosed please find The Original and 10 copies with attachments of PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT.

Very truly yours,

Anthony Ruggiero , Plaintiff Pro se



